 Page	1 (of.	2) 0	0.3

USDS SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DATE FILED: _nc

PACHULSKI STANG Z \perp E \mapsto \perp

LAW OFFICES LIMITED PARTETY PARTNERSOR NEW YORK, NY LOS ANCELES, CA SAN FRANCISCO, CA WILMINGTON, DE

780 THIRD AVENUE 36th FLOOR NEW YORK NEW YORK 10017-2024 DECEMBER 212/561 7700

PACSIMOLE: 212/561 7777

LOS ANGELES JUJUU SANTA MOINICA BLVD.

Uth FLOOR LOS ANGIGLIS CALIFORNIA 90067-4100

TELEPHONE: 330/277 6910 FACSIMILE: 310/2010/6560

SAN FRANCISCO 150 CAUPORNIA STREET (5th FLOUR SAN PRANCISCO CALIFORNIA PHILASOR parameters 115/263 7000

MACSIMU #: 418/263 7010

DELAWARE

919 NORTH MARKET STREET 17th 17 (XX)R FO DOX 8705 WILMINGTON DPLAWARE 19899-8705 (Courter Zip Code 1990)

TELEPHONE 302/652 4100 LACSIMOLIC 3027652 4100

Robart J. Feinstein

October 30, 2007

rteinstein Opszilaw.com (212) 581-7710

VIA <u>TELECOPIER</u>

Re:

The Honorable Paul A Crotty, United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street Room 735 New York, New York 1007

Dema muy file a 33 page buy.
There will be no modificate for
the reply brush, notworks landing
Dana's lack of objection to their lack
supplementation. Toyota Tsusho American, Inc. v. Dana Corporation, Case No. 07 Civ. 4837 (PAC); Hydro U Aluminum Tubing North America, LLC v. Dana Corporation, Case No. 07 Civ. 5460 (PAC): Emhart Teknologies, Inc. v. Dana Corporation, Case No. 07 Civ. 5461(PAC); The Timken

Dear Judge Crotty:

This firm is counsel to Dana Corporation ("Dana"), the appellee in each of the above-captioned appeals currently pending before Your Honor of an Order of the Honorable Burton R. Lifland. United States Bankruptcy Judge, Valuing Reclamation Claims Filed In the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases at Zero. Yesterday, Dana filed the identical Appellee's Brief (the "Appellee Brief") in each of the above-referenced actions. That single brief responded to the five appeal briefs filed by appellants.

Corporation, Toyotetsu America, Inc. and Toyotetsu Mid America LLC v. Dana

Berlin Metals LLC v. Dana Corporation,

Case No. 07 Civ. 5995 (PAC)

Corporation, Case No. 07 Civ. 5659 (PAC); and

On August 30, 2007, by endorsed letter, Your Honor granted applications by counsel for Dana and by counsel for The Timken Company, Timken U.S. Corp., Toyotetsu America, Inc., and Toyotetsu Mid America LLC to extend the page limit for

ENDORS



Case 1:07-cv-04837-PAC

The Honorable Paul A Crotty, October 30, 2007 Page 2

memorandum of law set out in Your Honor's Individual Practices and set a limit of thirty pages for the parties' opening briefs.

Filed 10/31/2007

Because of an inadvertent internal miscommunication at our office, the Appellec Brief is thirty-three pages rather than thirty. In light of the fact that Dana submitted one appellee brief, rather than five in response to the five appeal briefs filed by appellants, and in light of the fact that Rule 8010(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure contemplates that principal briefs "shall not exceed 50 pages," we respectfully request that you authorize Dana to file a thirty-three page Appellee Brief nunc pro tunc. Dana will, of course, have no objection to any requests by appellants to exceed the page limit for their reply briefs. We apologize for the Court for the misunderstanding and for any inconvenience.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

espectfully.

Robert J. Feinste

RJF/kgb

cc:

James M. Sullivan, Esq. (by telecopier) Stuart A. Krausc, Esq. (by telecopier) Mark T. Power, Esq. (by telecopier) Robert Beau Leonard, Esq. (by telecopier)